RECEIVED

May 22 6 29 PM '00

POSTAL RATE COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SAGRATARY

TESTIMONY OF EMILY SHEKETOFF ON BEHALF OF AMERICAN LIBRARY ASSOCIATION

Please address communications about this document to:

David M. Levy Christopher Shenk Sidley & Austin 1722 Eye Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006 (202) 736-8000 dlevy@sidley.com

Counsel for American Library Association

My name is Emily Sheketoff. I am the Associate Executive Director 1 2 of the American Library Association ("ALA") and manager of its Washington Office. In that capacity, I direct government relations efforts 3 before Congress and the Executive Branch to fund libraries. I work on 4 5 initiatives important to the library community, such as youth literacy, 6 public access to government information, first amendment protection, and intellectual property and copyright issues. I also oversee the policy 7 8 work done by the Office for Information Technology Policy ("OITP"), an 9 office that promotes the development and utilization of electronic access 10 to information as a means to ensure the public's right to a free and open 11 information society.

12 Before coming to the ALA Washington Office, I was the Budget Coordinator for the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Labor, advising labor agencies on budget initiatives, and developing institutional 14 15 strategies to communicate their ideas more effectively. Prior to that, as Deputy Assistant Secretary of Labor for OSHA, I ran the day-to-day 16 17 operations of the Government Enforcement Agency, where I created a 18 rapid response team to answer congressional inquiries.

13

19 My previous positions include Director of Interagency Affairs and White House Liaison for the Office of Personnel Management, Deputy 20 21 Director for the Office of Priority Placement, Office of Presidential 22 Personnel in the Clinton Administration, and Special Assistant to the Director of the White House Office of Administration in the Carter 23 Administration. In the U.S. Senate, I was a researcher and aide to 24 former Connecticut Senator Lowell P. Weicker on the Senate Watergate 25 26 Committee, and also served as an investigator and aide to the Staff

- 1 Director on the House Intelligence Committee investigating the U.S.
- 2 intelligence community.
- I also served as the Washington Bureau Producer for the Monitor
- 4 Channel of the Christian Science Monitor, and covered the Pentagon
- 5 during the Gulf War. As an assignment editor with World Monitor, I
- 6 assigned stories to 20 reporters and 30 producers worldwide, and
- 7 scheduled taped pieces for broadcast, as well as producing
- 8 commentaries. I also worked for the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation
- 9 in its Washington Bureau and a booker and producer.
- 10 I hold a Bachelor's Degree in American studies from George
- 11 Washington University.
- The American Library Association is the voice for America's
- 13 libraries. For more than a century, ALA has provided leadership
- 14 promoting library and information services of the highest quality, and
- 15 defended intellectual freedom. Most of our 59,000 members are
- 16 librarians, but our membership also includes trustees, libraries,
- 17 publishers, vendors, and other friends of libraries. ALA is a 501(c)
- 18 charitable and educational organization.
- My testimony here concerns the rate increases proposed by the
- 20 Postal Service in this case for library rate mail. In this case, the Postal
- 21 Service is proposing an average increase 4.5 percent over the rates
- 22 implemented less than 18 months ago in Docket No. R97-1. Those rates
- 23 in turn represented an increase of approximately 14 percent over the
- 24 library rates established in Docket No. R94-1, and an increase of
- 25 approximately 90 percent over the library rates established in Docket No.
- 26 R90-1. The rate increases in Docket No. R97-1 forced the Commission

- 1 effectively to merge the library rate with its regular counterpart, the
- 2 Standard (B) "book" rate, eliminating the rate preference contemplated by
- 3 Congress for library rate mail.
- 4 The effects of these rate increases have been devastating. The
- 5 volume of mail entered at the library rate has plummeted, as many
- 6 libraries and publishers have converted to the book rate, or even to
- 7 commercial carriers like UPS.
- 8 Libraries and library programs that cannot convert to these
- 9 alternative modes of shipping—most notably the interlibrary loan and
- 10 books-by-mail programs that serve small and isolated rural
- 11 communities—have been especially hard hit, because postage costs
- represent an enormous percentage of their total budget. For example, a
- 13 library in Martinsburg, West Virginia, has approximately 140,000
- volumes, and does an average of 300 interlibrary loan transactions a
- month—sending and receiving loaned books. In the last fiscal year the
- 16 cost of postage was nearly \$7,000. Approximately 60% of that cost, or
- 17 \$4,000, represented postage on interlibrary loans alone.
- While an increase in the library postal rate will harm larger
- 19 libraries, the financial blow to smaller ones will be even greater. As the
- 20 cost of shipping books to smaller libraries increases, the larger ones will
- 21 have no choice but to cut back on the number of books sent to smaller
- 22 libraries—many of which serve poor and isolated communities that are in
- 23 the most need of books for education and recreation. Loretta Cecil, the
- 24 Mail by Book coordinator for the Southwest Kansas Library System in
- Dodge City, Kansas, explained this in a recent letter to me:

"I am responsible for mailing paperback books to rural and homebound readers in twelve southwest Kansas counties. The Mail a Book program has been a lifeline to our sparsely populated counties since 1972. Many of these counties have very small libraries, with short hours of daily operation. It is an understatement to say many of our readers are geographically remote.

In recent years, the Mail-a-Book Service has been dropped by two of the five systems that provided service in Kansas. This is largely due to postage increases. For example: In 1990 it cost an average of 45 cents to mail one book. In 1999, that average cost is 96 cents. This causes the entire service to suffer terribly. Book inventory is cut to meet the budget restrictions, and the quality of service is reduced. I feel another postal increase would create such a negative effect that this rural service would be lost.

Perhaps the most vulnerable to increases in the library rate are America's elderly, physically disabled, and other individuals with limited mobility. Library programs like the interlibrary loan and "Book by Mail" are a lifeline for housebound citizens. Books circulated through these programs, including large print and audio books—provide vital information on preventative health care, financial management, eldercare, and other care-giving. Mary Bidwell, outreach facilitator for the North County Library System in Watertown, New York, has written to me about the impact of a postal rate hike for these programs:

"Outreach Services through the North Country Library System (NCLS) in Watertown, New York, provides materials to homebound, elderly, physically disabled, visually impaired/blind, and/or deaf/hearing impaired patrons. We provide large print books, audio books, closed captioned & educational videos, as well as paperback or regular print books. Our service area includes four counties: Jefferson, Lewis, St. Lawrence and Oswego. Many areas where our patrons reside are rural areas; therefore, most of the material that is sent to patrons is through the postal system.

We provide ALL postage. When material is sent to patrons, we provide the return postage. Some patrons

qualify for the "Free Matter" status and there is no postage involved. The majority of our 575 patrons do not receive materials 'Free Matter.'

Our Outreach budget is stretched as far as it can go: Salaries, clerical supplies, materials, and postage. If there is another postal increase, the money for increased postage will be taken from materials. Therefore, we will be purchasing less and less new materials for patrons to enjoy. If the library rate is increased dramatically, the expense to send materials would be so great that the materials-by-mail program would suffer dramatically. In fact, NCLS might not be able to continue to absorb postage costs for returning as well as sending materials to Outreach patrons.

A recent letter from Mary Hedrick of the Harrison Regional Library in Columbiana, Alabama, illustrates the human dimension of these programs:

"[O]ur homebound patrons . . . are the most vulnerable of all American citizens. The few pleasures they have are so limited that each one takes on enormous importance in their daily lives. The Shelby County Public Libraries Books-By Mail program serves homebound Library users. Often times the bags of large print books or books on tape are the only contacts the patron may have with the 'outside' for days.

24 * * *

One of our Books-By-Mail patrons has been receiving materials for over 10 years. First she needed large print books, then books-on-tape. Now she receives talking books. We have come to know her well and in return she depends on us. She will call to find out if the weather is getting bad or if we know who was injured in a local automobile accident. She has no family living near. She looks forward to the mail and her Books-By-Mail bag. Can we say to her that we are sorry but postage costs increased and there will be no more bags? Could you say that to this eighty-year-old lady who worries if the bag is just one day late? She is doing the best she can just to get by, how can we take away her Books-By-Mail?

The Commission, in its recommended decision in Docket No. R97-1, emphasized that its merger of library and book rates in that docket

was a temporary solution to the Postal Service's skyrocketing attributable cost estimates, and "should not be considered a proposal to abolish Library Mail as a recognized mail classification." R97-1 Opinion and Recommended Decision (May 11, 1998), p. 509 § 5749. During this case, the Postal Service and others have proposed legislation that would establish a permanent rate preference of five percent for library rate mail. Enactment of the bill as law, however, will provide little solace to America's libraries and their patrons if the costs attributed to library rate mail—along with other parcel and flat-shaped mail—continue to outpace inflation.

The Commission has repeatedly put the Postal Service on notice in recent rate cases about the inadequacy of existing cost data for library rate mail, other small mail subclasses, and other subclasses of non-letter mail. For this reason, I urge the Commission to hold the Postal Service to its burden of demonstrating that the costs attributed by its costing systems to library rate mail will actually occur, and to reject claims of increasing costs that rest merely on unreliable data or unsubstantiated guesswork.

Library mail is "special"—different from other regular mail—because of the importance that this nation puts on giving equal access to books and other informational material to the public which libraries serve. An unjustified postal rate hike for library rate mail would effectively strip it of that "special" classification, reducing the poor, elderly, homebound, disabled, and regionally isolated to second class citizens in their own country, unworthy of the same opportunities and

- 1 privileges that others in our society enjoy. This Commission should not,
- 2 and need not, acquiesce in this outcome.
- I want to thank the Commission for giving me the opportunity to
- 4 testify on this very important issue.

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document on all participants of record in this proceeding in accordance with section 12 of the Rules of Practice. May 22, 2000